A TYPIFICATION OF SOME SUBFAMILY, SECTIONAL, AND SUBSECTIONAL NAMES IN THE FAMILY MALPIGHIACAE

C. V. Morton *

Franz Niedenzu's monograph of the Malpighiaceae in Das Pflanzenreich ¹ published in 1928 is a monumental and masterful piece of work, the result of a lifetime of study. It was preceded by a treatment of the genera in Engler and Prantl's Die Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien in 1890 and by monographs of individual genera subsequently in rather rare publications of the Königliches Lyceum Hosianum zu Braunsberg, sometimes given its Latin name Lyceum Regium Hosianum Brunsbergense.

Although Niedenzu's taxonomic work is detailed and careful, he apparently made up his rules of nomenclature as he went along. He started work before there were any really definite rules and even after the publication of the Vienna Code of Botanical Nomenclature in 1905 he refused to abide by these rules. He changed the names of species that he did not like, proposed homonyms, assigned different ranks to entities without a change of authority, and so on. In particular, he ignored many of the subfamily, sectional, and subsectional names that had been proposed by his illustrious predecessors de Candolle, Ad. de Jussieu, and Grisebach and substituted new names of his own coining. In fact, he replaced many of his own names as he went along, because with changing concepts of the contents of groups the former names were not particularly or universally applicable.

A prime difficulty with Niedenzu's work is that he did not work at all under a type concept. His new species were often based on many specimens, all technically syntypes, and no lectotypes have ever been designated for most of them. This is true also for his names of higher categories, which sometimes included many species, and no lectotype has ever been designated.

The result of this individual approach is that it is impossible to determine the correct name for many of the groups under our current Code of Botanical Nomenclature, which goes primarily by priority, and under which names may not be rejected merely because they are considered inappropriate. Perhaps more than any other taxonomist, Niedenzu found it necessary to subdivide groups, and so in the larger genera there are numerous subgenera, each with sections, subsections, and series and subseries. It is doubtful that this plethora of names is really necessary. In any case, the lectotypification of all of them would be a major job not to be lightly undertaken. The same is even truer with the typification of the species, subspecies, varieties, subvarieties, forms, and subforms that the work abounds in, because the originals of most of these were destroyed in Berlin during the late war, and it does no good now to designate as lectotype a specimen no longer existing. Many of these names can be typified, if that is possible at all, by locating duplicate specimens in other herbaria and correctly identifying these with Niedenzu's names. Very few will be found with annotations by Niedenzu himself, because he did not borrow material extensively. This is a work that should be undertaken but is not likely to be, because it is a thankless and unrewarding job.

I have attempted to go back and locate the subfamily, tribal, subgeneric, and sectional names that were supplied by the authors prior to Niedenzu which he ignored or misapplied. In some cases, these names are based on single species and so there is no problem of typification. Other groups however contained several or many species and

^{*} Department of Botany, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 20560 U.S.A.

¹ Vol. IV, 141: 1–870. 1928.

for these it has been necessary to select a lectotype, which I have attempted to do with great care in order to avoid the least change in Niedenzu's group names, in all cases however choosing a species that agrees with the original characterization and which was known to the author of the group name concerned. It turns out that relatively few of these older names need to be taken up, which is fortunate both for stability of nomenclature and because many of these older names referred to characters which are not universally true in the groups as now delimited which can cause a little confusion. The most extensive changes are due to another factor altogether - the relatively new requirements in the Code that subfamily names must be formed by the addition of the ending "-oideae" to the stem of a legitimate name of an included genus, that similarly the names of tribes and subtribes must be based on the same stem as the next higher taxon, that subgeneric names must repeat the generic names, and that sectional names similarly repeat the subgeneric names. I am not wholly sure that these arbitrary rules should have been added to the Code for they result in the rejection of many well-known and appropriate names. In particular, I dislike the rejection of such subgeneric names as Eubanisteria, the meaning of which is selfevident, whereas if the name is merely Banisteria it is always necessary for clarity to prefix subg. Banisteria. However, doubtless these rules are here to stay and have to be lived with. In any case, subgeneric and sectional names are chiefly used by specialists and not by the general botanist. The changes proposed in this paper of some names are therefore not going to be of great general interest.

☆

Acridocarpus Guill. & Perr. subg. Acridocarpus

Acridocarpus subg. Catophyllaris Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 7: 17. 1921. Lectotype: Acridocarpus plagiopterus Guill. & Perr.

Acridocarpus Guill. & Perr. originally contained two species, A. plagiopterus Guill. & Perr. and A. smeathmannii (DC.) Guill. & Perr. The first one, A. plagiopterus, is here chosen as lectotype, since it was the one illustrated in the original publication as representative of the genus. Thus subg. Catho-

phyllaris Nied. becomes a straight synonym of subg. Acridocarpus.

Acridocarpus Guill. & Perr. sect. Acridocarpus

Acridocarpus sect. Monacra Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 7: 17, 1921. Lectotype: Acridocarpus plagiopterus Guill. & Perr.

ACRIDOCARPUS Guill. & Perr. sect. Anomalo-PTERIS (DC.) Morton, comb. nov.

Heteropteris sect. Anomalopteris DC. Prodr. 1: 592. 1824. Type: Heteropteris smeathmannii DC. [= Acridocarpus smeathmannii (DC.) Guill. & Perr.], the only original species of the section.

Acridocarpus sect. Macranthera Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 6: 51. 1915. This section included the type of sect. Anomalopteris DC., and Macranthera was therefore a superfluous, illegitimate name.

ASPICARPA L. C. Rich, sect. CHAMAEA (Griseb.) Nied. Verz. Vorles. Akad. Braunsberg W.-S. 1912—13: 56. 1912 (wrongly attributed to Griseb.)

Camarea St. Hil. sect. Chamaea Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 105. 1858. Lectotype: Camarea pulchella Griseb. There were two original species — C. discolor (Griseb.) Griseb. and C. pulchella. By removing the first and placing it as a synonym of Janusia guaranitica (St. Hil.) Ad. Juss. and leaving the second in a section entitled Chamaea, Niedenzu effectively selected C. pulchella as lectotype.

ASPIDOPTERYS Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 266. 1840 sect. ASPIDOPTERYS.

Aspidopterys sect. Dolichopterys Nied.
Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 6: 12. 1915.
Aspidopterys sect. Oblongae Hutchinson,
Kew Bull. 1917: 92. 1917.

The genus Aspidopterys, originally containing 11 species, has never been typified. Jussieu chose A. hirsuta (Wall.) Ad. Juss. to illustrate the characters of the genus (Arch. Mus. Paris 3: t. 17. 1843), and thus this species is chosen as lectotype. Since this species was included within sect. Dolichopteris and sect. Oblongae, these sectional names become synonyms of sect. Aspidopterys.

Banisteria H. B. K. sect. Banisteria Banisteria sect. *Trichanthera* Griseb. Linnaea 13: 197. 1839. Lectotype: Of the four species referred to the section, three are closely allied and agree with the characterization. The first of these, *Banisteria adenopoda* Ad. Juss. in St. Hil. Fl. Bras. Merid. 3: 40. 1832, is here designated lectotype.

Banisteria sect. Camptostylis Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsb. p. hiem. 1900–01: 19. 1900. Since this section included the lectotype for Banisteria, namely B. pauciflora H. B. K., it must be considered a synonym of sect. Banisteria.

Banisteria H. B. K. subg. Hemiramma (Griseb.) Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. hiem. 1900–01: 4. 1900. ² Banisteria sect. Hemiramma Griseb. Linnaea 13: 199. 1839. Type: Banisteria anisandra Ad. Juss., the only original species.

Banisteria sect. Hemiramma Griseb. Linnaea 13: 199, 1839.

Banisteria sect. Leiococca Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. hiem. 1900–01: 7. 1900. Since this section includes B. anisandra Ad. Juss., sect. Leiococca was a superfluous change of name for Hemiramma Griseb.

Banisteria H. B. K. sect. Leianthera Griseb. Linnaea 13: 191, 1839.

Banisteria sect. Orthostylis Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsb. p. hiem. 1900—01: 12. 1900. Lectotype: Of the several species referred to the section by Niedenzu, the first named agrees with the characterization as well as the others and is here designated lectotype: Banisteria laevifolia Ad. Juss. in St. Hil. Fl. Bras. Merid. 3: 38. 1832.

Lectotype: Grisebach referred seven species to his section *Leianthera*, which was supposed to be characterized by having glabrous anthers. Evidently he did not know some of the species firsthand, for some of them have pilose anthers. One of the species which does have glabrous anthers and which agrees otherwise with the caracterization as well as any other, especially in having the filaments alternately unequal, is *Banisteria laevifolia* Ad. Juss. in St. Hil. Fl. Bras. Merid. 3: 38. 1832, which is here designated lectotype. Thus Niedenzu's section *Orthostylis* becomes a nomenclatural synonym of sect. *Leianthera*.

The name *Leianthera* is not really appropriate, for it means "glabrous anthers," and some of the species closely allied to *B. laevifolia* have pilose anthers, at least according to Niedenzu's grouping. However, according to the Code, a name may not be rejected because it is inappropriate.

Banisteria H. B. K. sect. Moncctenia Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. hiem. 1900–01: 4. 1900.

Lectotype: Banisteria cinerascens (Benth.) Griseb.

Banisteria sect. Eubanisteria [subsect.]
Glabripetalae Nied. in Engl. & Prantl,
Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 62. 1890. Lectotype: Heteropteris cinerascens Benth.

Banisteria cinerascens (Benth.) Griseb.
Since this group can be typified only arbitrarily, the first mentioned species may as well serve as lectotype. If a subsectional name is needed, this one will be available.

Banisteria H. B. K. sect. Anisopteris Griseb. Linnaea 13: 201. 1839.

Niedenzu undoubtedly knew of the publication of sect. Anisopteris Griseb. and adopted the name but by an oversight attributed the name to himself in Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. aest. 1901: 18. 1901, and in Das Pflanzenreich IV, 141: 445. 1928. The type, the only original species of sect. Anisopteris, is Banisteria pubipetala Ad. Juss.

Banisteria subsect. Pubipetalae Nied. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 61. 1890.

Banisteria subsect. Octadenia Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. aest. 1901: 18. 1901. Lectotype: Banisteria pubipetala Ad. Juss.

The section *Pubipetalae* is to be lectotypified on the basis of *Banisteria pubipetala* Ad. Juss., both on the basis of the characterization and the name chosen. Later, Niedenzu arbitrarily changed this name to *Octadenia*, probably because in his later work he preferred to use substantives for sectional and subsectional names rather than descriptive adjectives in the plural. There is much to be said for this philosophy in proposing names in the future, but already published adjectival names have their priority, of course.

MALPIGHIACEAE tribe BANISTERIEAE DC. Prodr. 1: 584, 1824.

Malpighiaceae tribe Notopterygieae Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 270.

TAXON VOLUME 17

² Wrongly attributed to Grisebach in Das Pflanzenreich.

1840. An alternative name for tribe Banisterieae.

In Das Pflanzenreich, the authority for the tribal name Banisterieae is wrongly given as Ad. Juss. (1840).

BUNCHOSIA L. C. Rich. subg. BUNCHOSIA

Bunchosia subg. Ciruela Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg, p. hiem. 1898—1899: 4. 1898. Lectotype: B. swartziana Griseb.

Bunchosia sect. Metriozeugma Nied. Ind.
Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. 1898—99: 13. 1898. Lectotype: B. fluminensis
(Griseb.) Griseb.

Bunchosia subg. Metriozeugma Nied Arb. Bot. Inst. Akad. Braunsberg 5: 37. 1914. Illegitimate renaming of subg. Ciruela Nied.

The genus Bunchosia was proposed in a very casual way by A. L. Jussieu, who indicated that Malpighia odorata Jacq., M. nitida Jacq., M. armeniaca Cav., and M. glandulosa Cav. had been separated out by Richard as a genus Bunchosia characterized by a twocelled ovary and connate styles. No new combinations were made although three of them are cited by Niedenzu as though they had been made at this time. Small (No. Amer. Flora 25: 160, 1910) chose M. odorata Jacq. as lectotype, doubtless because it was the first species mentioned, and he has been followed by Cuatrecasas (Webbia 13: 565. 1958), but this is not an adequate reason in the absence of other evidence. Niedenzu left M. odorata among the unidentifiable species, but Cuatrecasas has identified it, from the illustration and description, with Bunchosia pilosa H. B. K. However, Cuatrecasas remarks that Jacquin's description is partly incorrect and shows a confusion with some other plant. Furthermore, if Cuatrecasas is correct in his identification, then M. odorata has a threecelled ovary like B. pilosa H. B. K. Therefore, Small's choice of M. odorata as lectotype can not be maintained, both because the original description is equivocal and because if Cuatrecasas' identification is correct the species disagrees with the characterization of Bunchosia. The third species M. armeniaca Cav. disagrees with the characterization, since it has free styles. Two species do agree, namely M. nitida Jacq. and M. glandulosa Cav.; of these I choose M. glandulosa Cav. (= Bunchosia glandulosa (Cav.) L. C. Rich. ex. H. B. K.) as lectotype; it is a common and wellknown species in the West Indies. Since this species belongs in subg. Ciruela Nied. and Metriozeugma Nied., these names will become synonyms of subg. Bunchosia.

Bunchosia L. C. Rich. sect. Bunchcsia Malacmaea Griseb. Linnaea 13: 248. 1839. Type: Malacmaea fluminensis Griseb.

Bunchosia sect. Malacmaea (Griseb.) Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 31. 1858.

Bunchosia subg, Malacmaea (Griseb.) Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. hiem 1898–1899: 13. 1898.

Bunchosia sect. Sericothrix Nied. Arb. Bot.
Inst. Akad. Braunsberg 5: 37. 1914. Lectotype: Bunchosia cornifolia H. B. K.
(chosen by Cuatrecasas, Webbia 13: 566. 1958). However, since this section contains the lectotype of Bunchosia, namely B. glandulosa (Cav.) L. C. Rich. ex H. B. K., it must be abandoned as a sectional name in favor of sect. Bunchosia.

Burdachia Mart. ex Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 329. 1840.

Burdachia sect. Carusia Mart. in Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 330. 1840. Type: Burdachia sphaerocarpa Ad. Juss.

A reference to this sectional name was omitted by Niedenzu in Das Pflanzenreich. Niedenzu attributes the generic name to Jussieu, but Jussieu himself credits it to Martius.

BYRSONIMA L. C. Rich. ex A. L. Juss. subg. BYRSONIMA

Byrsonima subg. Brachyzeugma Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Braunsberg. p. aest. 1897: 4. 1897.

Four species of Malpighia were mentioned in the brief original description of Byrsonima as belonging to the genus, namely M. spicata Cav., M. lucida Mill., M. crassifolia L., and M. verbascifolia L. No new combinations were made although Niedenzu so cites them. Small (No. Amer. Flora 25: 166. 1910) chose M. spicata Cav. as lectotype, and no fault can be found with this choice, since the species agrees with the original characterization. Thus, since this species falls within subg. Brachyzeugma Nied., this name will become a superfluous and illegitimate synonym of subg. Byrsonima.

BYRSONIMA L. C. Rich. sect. BYRSONIMA

Byrsonima sect. Hypophyllarion Griseb. Linnaea 13: 250. 1839. Lectotype: Malpighia spicata Cav. The twelve species referred to this section were diverse and not at all closely allied, and therefore the section can be lectotypified only arbitrarily. I choose *M. spicata*, for in this way the section becomes a straight synonym of *Byrsonima*.

Byrsonima sect. Epiphyllarion Griseb. op. cit. 256. Lectotype: Malpighia lucida Mill. = Byrsonima lucida (Mill.) L. C. Rich. ex H. B. K. The first two of the three species referred to this section belong in typical sect. Byrsonima.

Byrsonima sect. Sericolepis Nied. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. Nachtr. & Reg. Teil II—IV: 206. 1897. Lectotype: Malpighia spicata Cav.

GALPHIMIA Cav. sect. GALPHIMIA

Galphimia sect. Cosmogalphimia Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Akad. Braunsberg 5: 23. 1914.

Cuatrecasas (Webbia 13: 550. 1958) has selected *Galphimia glauca* Cav. as lectotype of the genus, and therefore sect. *Cosmogalphimia*, which includes this species, must now be known as sect. *Galphimia*.

MALPICHIACEAE subfam. GAUDICHAUDIOIDEAE Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 249. 1840 (as "Gaudichaudieae"). ³

Malpighiaceae subfam. Meiostemones Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 249. 1840. Lectotype: Gaudichaudia H. B. K. Published as an alternative name for Gaudichaudieae.

Malpighiaceae subfam. Pyramidotorae
Nied. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 8: 190.
1890; Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam.
3 (4): 41. 1890; Pflanzenreich IV, 141:
17. 1928. Lectotype: Hiraea Jacq.

Malpighiaceae subfam. Pterygophorae Nied. 11. cc. Alternative name.

The present International Code of Botanical Nomenclature, 1966 ed., Art. 19, provides that subfamily names be based on the name of an included genus with the termination -oideae. Therefore, Jussieu's and Niedenzu's names based on characters will have to be abandoned.

GAUDICHAUDIA H. B. K. subg. TRITOMOPTERYS (Ad. Juss.) Nied. in Engl. Pflanzenreich IV, 141: 241. 1928 (wrongly attributed to de Iussieu).

Gaudichaudia sect. Tritomopterys Ad. Juss.

Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 252. 1840. Lectotype: Gaudichaudia congestiflora Ad. Juss. (originally published as "confertiflora" in error). This, the first species mentioned, agrees well with the characterization.

Gaudichaudia H. B. K. sect. Tritomopterys Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 252. 1840. Lectotype: Gaudichaudia congestiflora Ad. Juss.

Tritomopterys (Ad. Juss.) Nied. sect. Augmoiopterys Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 4: 29. 1912. An illegitimate name (superfluous) for the type section, based on G. congestiflora Ad. Juss.

Gaudichaudia sect. Anomoiopterys (Nied.) Nied. Pflanzenreich IV, 141: 241. 1928. Illegitimate.

HETEROPTERIS H. B. K. subg. HETEROPTERIS

Heteropteris subg. Anosepalis Nied. Arb.
Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 2:
3. 1903. Since this subgenus includes the
type of Heteropteris, namely H. purpurea (L.) H. B. K., Anosepalis becomes a
synonym of subg. Heteropteris.

HETEROPTERIS H. B. K. sect. HETEROPTERIS

Heteropteris sect. Peixotopteris Griseb. Linnaea 13: 217. 1839. Type: Heteropteris
aenea Griseb., the only original species.

Heteropteris sect. Chrysoheteropteris Griseb.
op. cit. 218. Lectotype: Heteropteris chrysophylla (Lam.) H. B. K. ex DC., the first
species listed by Grisebach and the obvious
choice of lectotype from the description and
the sectional name proposed.

Heteropteris sect. Stenotorus Griseb. op. cit. 222. Lectotype: Heteropteris discolor Ad. Juss., the first species listed by Grisebach, and which agrees with the description.

Heteropteris sect. Ptycheteropteris Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 60. 1858. Since this section contained species, including H. discolor Ad. Juss., that had already been described as sect. Stenotorus Griseb., it must be considered as superfluous, a renaming of Stenotorus, which Grisebach abandoned, probably considering its meaning unsuitable in view of his regrouping of the species.

Heteropteris sect. Microprosopis Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 2: 3. 1903. A superfluous name, since it included the types of the sections Peixotopteris, Chrysoheteropteris, and Steno-

318

³ The International Code for Botanical Nomenclature (1966 ed., Art. 19, Note) provides that subfamily names originally published with the improper termination "-eae" shall be corrected to "-oideae," without change of the author's name.

torus, all of which were prior and available.

HETEROPTERIS H. B. K. sect. HOLOPETALON (Griseb.) Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 58. 1858.

Banisteria sect. Holopetalon Griseb. Linnaea 13: 199. 1839. Lectotype: Banisteria patens Griseb. loc. cit. This, the second species listed, agrees well with the description and still retains its specific epithet in the genus, i.e. as Heteropteris patens (Griseb.) Ad. Juss.

Heteropteris sect. Stenophyllarion Griseb. op. cit. 227. Lectotype: Heteropteris leschenaultiana Ad. Juss., the first species listed by Grisebach, and which agrees with the characterization.

Heteropteris sect. Macroprosopis Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 2: 33. 1903. Since this section as originally proposed included both of the original species of sect. Holopetalon (Banisteria thyrsoidea Griseb. and B. patens Griseb.) it was superfluous and illegitimate.

Niedenzu recognized *Holopetalon* and *Ste-nophyllarion*, but only as subsections or series under his illegitimate sectional name *Macro-prosopis*. The correct citation of these subsections will be:

HETEROPTERIS subsect. STENOPHYLLARION (Griseb.) Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 2: 34. 1903 (wrongly attributed to Griseb.)

HETEROPTERIS subsect. APTYCHIA Nied. ser. HOLOPETALON (Griseb.) Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 2: 40. 1903 (wrongly attributed to Griseb.)

HETEROPTERIS H. B. K. subg. PARABANISTERIA (Morton) Morton, comb. nov.

Heteropteris subg. Euheteropteris Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 2: 42. 1903. An invalid name, because of its form with "Eu-," which is contrary to Art. 21 of the Code (1966 ed.), and also because the officially conserved type of Heteropteris, H. purpurea (L.) H. B. K., does not belong in Niedenzu's subgenus Euheteropteris, as is implied by the name. (Of course, in Niedenzu's time H. purpurea had not been conserved as the type, and Niedenzu undoubtedly considered some other species as typical, so far as he recognized any types at all, such as H. floribunda

H. B. K., which he referred to his subg. Euheteropteris.

Banisteria subg. Parabanisteria Morton, Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington 43: 157. 1930. Type: Banisteria laurifolia L. = Heteropteris laurifolia (L.) Ad. Juss.

In 1930, at the time I proposed Parabanisteria, I was following Robinson and Small (in North American Flora) in recognizing Banisteria L. as the correct name for Heteropteris H. B. K. Inasmuch as the type of Heteropteris, namely H. purpurea, did not fall within the subgenus Euheteropteris as delimited by Niedenzu a new name was needed for this subgenus, which I provided with Banisteria subg. Parabanisteria. At the time that this name was proposed Heteropteris had not as yet been officially published as a conserved name, and besides at that time the U.S. National Museum, according to decisions of Coville and Maxon, was following the American Code, which did not recognize any list of conserved names. Now that Heteropteris is conserved the subgeneric name may be transferred and used, since this subgenus is still without any usable name.

Tribe HIPTAGEAE DC. Prodr. 1: 583. 1824. Tribe *Hireae* Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris], 13: 255. 1840.

Tribe *Pleuropterygieae* Ad. Juss. loc. cit. Alternative name.

Tribe *Hireaeaceae* Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12(1): 75. 1858.

The tribal name Hireae, with the spelling corrected to Hiraeeae, was adopted by Niedenzu but DeCandolle's tribe Hiptageae, with the same circumscription, has priority.

Ніртасе Gaertn. Fruct. Sem. Pl. 2: 169, t. 116. 1791, subg. Ніртасе

Hiptage subg. Euhiptage Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Akad. Braunsberg 6: 36. 1915.

HIPTAGE Gaertn. sect. HIPTAGE

Hiptage sect. Idiopterys Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 6: 38. 1915. Since this section included the sole original species of Hiptage, and therefore automatically the type, namely H. madablota Gaertn. = H. benghalensis (L.) Kurz, it was a superfluous name and therefore illegitimate.

HIRAEA Jacq. subg. HIRAEA, sect. HIRAEA. Hiraea sect. Glabratae Nied. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 56. 1890. Lectotype: Hiraea fagifolia (DC.) Ad. Juss. Hiraea sect. Comatae Nied. loc. cit. Lectotype: Hiraea chrysophylla Ad. Juss. In his later work Niedenzu ignored his sections Glabratae and Comatae, evidently considering the pubescence character on which they were founded as unimportant. All the species referred to both sections fall into sect. Hiraea.

Hiraea subg. Euhiraea, sect. Tetractinia Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg. W-S 1906-7: 5. 1906.

The type of *Hiraea* Jacq. is *H. reclinata* Jacq., the only original species. Since this is included within sect. *Tetractinia* Nied. that section must bear the generic name unaltered (Code Art. 22); similarly, the subgenus *Euhiraea* Nied. must become subg. *Hiraea*.

MALPIGHIA subg. MALPIGHIA

Malpighia subg. Homoiostylis Nied. Ind.
 Lect. Lyc. Brunsberg. p. aest. 1899: 4.
 1899. Lectotype: Malpighia glabra L.

The lectotype of *Malpighia* is *M. glabra* L. (Small, No. Amer. Flora 25: 152. 1910). Consequently, subg. *Homoiostylis*, which contains this species, must now be called subg. *Malpighia*.

Malpighia sect. Malpighia

Malpighia sect. Apyrae DC. Prodr. 1: 578. 1824. Lectotype: Malpighia glabra L. This sectional name was omitted from consideration by Niedenzu.

Malpighia sect. Paliurothrix Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. aest. 1899; 4. 1899. Lectotype: Malpighia glabra L.

Malpighia L. sect. Urentes DC. Prodr. 1: 577. 1824.

Malpighia sect. Homoiostema Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. aest. 1899: 7. 1899. Lectotype: Malpighia urens L.

Type: Malpighia urens L.

The sectional name *Urentes*, which has long priority over *Homoiostema*, was disregarded by Niedenzu, probably because he did not employ plural adjectives as sectional names and also because not all the species that are allied to *M. urens* L. have stinging hairs, as is implied by the name *Urentes*, but neither is a valid reason for discarding this name.

Malpighiaceae tribe Malpighieae DC. Prodr. 1: 577. 1824.

In Niedenzu's treatments the author of the tribal name Malpighieae is not indicated.

MALPIGHIACEAE subfam. MALPIGHIOIDEAE Morton, nom. nov.

Malpighiaceae subfam. Diplostemones Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 255. 1840. Lectotype: Malpighia L. This subfamily included originally, as well as the tribe Malpighieae, also the tribes Hiraeeae and Banisterieae, and so it can be typified only arbitrarily. The number of stamens stressed by Jussieu in suggesting the subfamily names Diplostemones and Meiostemones is not a sufficiently important character for the recognition of subfamilies.

Malpighiaceae subfam. Planitorae Nied. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 8: 192. 1890; in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 67. 1890.

Malpighiaceae subfam. Apterygieae Nied. loc. cit. Alternative name.

The subfamily names provided by Niedenzu although descriptive and appropriate do not agree with the form specified in the Code of Nomenclature.

Mascagnia Bertero subg. Mascagnia Mascagnia subg. Mesogynixa Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 3: 3, 1908.

Since this subgenus contains the lectotype species of *Mascagnia*, namely *M. americana* Bertero (chosen by Small, No. Amer. Fl. 25: 118. 1910), it must bear the generic name unchanged, and therefore subg. *Mesogynixa* must be reduced to synonymy.

Mascagnia Bertero sect. Mascagnia

Hiraea sect. Hiraeostachys Criseb. Linnaea 13: 242. 1839. Lectotype: Hiraea anisopetala Ad. Juss. = Mascagnia anisopetala (Ad. Juss.) Griseb. The original concept of sect. Hiraeostachys was confused, for of the seven species included three belonged to Tetrapteris (as now delimited) and four to Mascagnia. Since it can be typified only arbitrarily I choose the first named species, H. anisopetala, as lectotype; it agrees with the characterization as well as the others.

Mascagnia sect. Eumascagnia Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 95. 1858. Invalid in form for a subgenus containing the type species of Mascagnia.

Mascagnia Bertero subg. Plagiogynixa Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 3: 27. 1908.

Hiraea sect. Pachyantaeris Griseb. Linnaea 13: 244. 1839. Lectotype: Hiraea platyptera Griseb. = Mascagnia psilophylla (Ad. Juss.) Griseb., teste Niedenzu. This, the first species listed in the section, was described in detail by Grisebach, the description fitting well the characterization of the section. If a sectional name is needed for this species and its allies under the subg. Plagiogynixa, this will be available for transfer.

Peixotca Ad. Juss. in St. Hil. sect. Peixotca Peixotoa sect. Balantiopsis Nied. Verz. Vorles. Akad. Braunsberg W.-S. 1912—13: 33. 1912.

The genus *Peixotoa* has never been typified. It originally contained three species, *P. parviflora* Ad. Juss., *P. tomentosa* Ad. Juss., and *P. glabra* Ad. Juss., all of them still recognized as valid and retained within the genus. A choice of type can be made only arbitrarily. I choose as lectotype *P. tomentosa*, which belongs in the section with the larger number of species *Balantiopsis* Nied., which therefore becomes a synonym of sect. *Peixotoa*.

Rhinopteryx Nied. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 352. 1897.

In Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 6: 57. 1915 and in Das Pflanzenreich IV, 141: 279. 1928, Niedenzu "corrected" the spelling to *Rhinopterys*, but the original spelling, which is quite a correct transliteration of the Greek root, although unusual, must be restored.

RHYSSOPTERIS Blume ex Ad. Juss. sect. RHYS-SOPTERIS

Ryssopterys sect. Tilodes Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 6: 57. 1915.

Since this section included the type of *Rhyssopteris*, it must now be called merely sect. *Rhyssopteris*.

The original spelling "Ryssopterys" has been corrected by the conservation of the spelling Rhyssopteris.

STIGMAPHYLLON sect. BRACHYPTERYS (Ad. Juss.) Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg, p. east. 1900: 30. 1900 (wrongly attributed to Juss.).

Brachypterys Ad. Juss. in Delessert, Icon. Sel. Pl. 3: 20. t. 34. 1837. Type: Brachypterys australis Ad. Juss.

STIGMAPHYLLON Ad. Juss. subg. STIGMAPHYLLON 4

Lectotype: Banisteria auriculata Cav. = Stigmaphyllon auriculatum (Cav.) Ad. Juss., chosen by Small, No. Amer. Fl. 25: 139. 1910.

STIGMAPHYLLON Ad. Juss. sect. STIGMAPHYLLON Stigmaphyllon sect. Macropterys Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. aest. 1900: 3. 1900. Since this section included the lectotype of Stigmaphyllon, namely S. auriculatum (Cav.) Ad. Juss., it must be replaced by sect. Stigmaphyllon.

STIGMAPHYLLON Ad. Juss. subg. BAEOPTERIS (Griseb.) Nied. Ind. Lect. Lyc. Reg. Hos. Brunsberg. p. hiem. 1899—1900: 4. 1899 (wrongly attributed to Griseb.).

Stigmaphyllon sect. Baeopteris Griseb. Fl. Brit. West Ind. 118. June, 1860. ⁵ Lectotype: Stigmaphyllon emarginatum (Cav.) Ad. Juss., the first species listed and quite typical for Grisebach's concept.

Tetrapteris subsect. Isopterae Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 262. 1840. Tetrapteris subsect. Choriopterys Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 4: 12. 1912. Lectotype: Hiraea poeppigiana Ad. Juss. = Tetrapteris poeppigiana (Ad. Juss.) Griseb.

Tetrapteris subsect. Isopterys Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 22. 1909. Lectotype: Hiraea multiflora Griseb. = Tetrapteris multiflora (Griseb.) Ad. Juss.

For lectotype of subsect. *Isopterae* I choose *Tetrapteris fraxinifolia* Ad. Juss. [taxonomically = *Tetrapteris multiflora* (Griseb.) Ad. Juss.] The subsect. *Isopterys* of Niedenzu was published independently and was not a correction of the name *Isopterae* of Jussieu.

Tetrapteris ser. Pubipetalae Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 263. 1840.

Tetrapteris subsect. Isopterys Nied. Verz. Vorles, Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 22. 1909. Lectotype: Hiraea multiflora Griseb.

Tetrapteris ser. Isopterys (Nied.) Nied. Pflanzenreich IV, 141: 184. 1928.

Tetrapteris subsect. Leptoclona Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 13. 1909.

JUNE 1968 321

⁴ Taken up by Niedenzu in the invalid form Eustigmatophyllum. Although originally spelled Stigmaphyllon, the genus has by subsequent authors been unjustifiable altered to Stigmaphyllum, Stigmatophyllon, and Stigmatophyllum, the last the most frequently because of its adoption by Niedenzu.

⁵ See Stearn, Journ. Arnold Arb. 46: 256. 1965, concerning the date of publication.

Tetrapteris [ser.] Glabripetalae Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 262. 1840. Lectotype: Tetrapteris vacciniifolia Ad. Juss.

The subsect. Leptoclona Nied. can have as its lectotype Tetrapteris vacciniifolia Ad. Juss. If a series name is ever needed the one of Jussieu will be available, although that is unlikely for Leptoclona is a small group.

Tetrapteris subsect. Anisopterae Ad. Juss. Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 264. 1840. Tetrapteris [ser.] Glabrifolieae Ad. Juss. Arch. Mus. Paris. 3: 519. 1843. Lectotype: Tetrapteris anisoptera Ad. Juss.

Tetrapteris subsect. Pterygocarya Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 40. 1909. Lectotype: Tetrapteris anisoptera Ad. Juss. Since the subsectional name Anisopterae was a legitimate name and available, subsect. Pterygocarya Nied. was a superfluous, illegitimate name.

The subsect. Anisopterae Ad. Juss. originally contained many species; for lectotype I choose *Tetrapteris anisoptera* Ad. Juss., the obvious choice since the subsectional name is adopted from this species.

Tetrapteris Cav. sect. Tetrapteris

Tetrapteris sect. Pachytorus Griseb. Linnaea 13: 231. 1839. Lectotype: Tetrapteris mollis Griseb. All three of the original species of the section Tetrapteris are closely allied and retained within the type section of Tetrapteris, as based on its lectotype, T. inaequalis Cav. The name Pachytorus is omitted in Niedenzu's treatments.

Tetrapteris sect. Platyphyllarion Griseb. Linnaea op. cit. 238. Type: Tetrapteris bracteolata Griseb., the only original species.

Tetrapteris sect. Lophogynixa Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 40. 1909. Type: Tetrapteris bracteolata Griseb. Since this section included the type of the previously published and legitimate section Platyphyllarion Griseb., it must be considered as an illegitimate renaming of that and so have the same type.

TETRAPTERIS Cav. subg. TETRAPTERIS

Tetrapteris subg. Metatetrapteris Nied. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 58. 1890. Type: Since this subgenus includes the lectotype of Tetrapteris, T. inaequalis Cav., it must be considered as

an illegitimate name for subg. Tetrapteris.

Tetrapteris subg. Caulolepia Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909—10: 34. 1909. An unexplained and illegitimate renaming of Tetrapteris subg. Metatetrapteris Nied.

Tetrapteris Cav. sect. Hiracanthele (Griseb.) Morton, comb. nov.

Hiraea sect. Hiracanthele Griseb. Linnaea 13: 239. 1839. Lectotype: Hiraea multiflora Griseb. There were four original species of the section Hiracanthele, all now placed in Tetrapteris sect. Microphyllaris. The first, H. multiflora, now Tetrapteris multiflora (Griseb.) Ad. Juss., I choose as lectotype.

Tetrapteris sect. Stenantaeris Griseb. op. cit. 235. Lectotype: Tetrapteris acutifolia Cav. This is the oldest of the several species referred to the section by Grisebach; it agrees with the characterization. Niedenzu ignored the names Hiracanthele and Stenantaeris. Since these names are of the same date and no one has united them, I have chosen to adopt Hiracanthele, both because it is in the substantive form approved for sectional names and because it does not have a descriptive connotation as the name Stenantaeris does.

Hiraea Jacq. sect. Trilophopteris Griseb. Linnaea 22: 24. 1849. Lectotype: Tetrapteria poeppigiana (Ad. Juss.) Griseb. This was based on Hiraea sect. 2 Mascagnia Ad. Juss., i.e. in Ann. Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 259. 1840. Jussieu had two subgroups (unnamed) in his section Mascagnia, the second of which ("**Alae marginales in unum confluentes") contained the type of Mascagnia, M. americana Bertero. Grisebach recognized this as sect. Mascagnia ("Samara ala indivisa cincta"). He applied the sectional name Trilophopteris to Jussieu's other unnamed group ("Alae marginales distinctae"), characterized by Grisebach as "Samara (bi) trialata, alis marginalibus geminis distinctis, tertia dorsali saepius subaequali." The only species included by Jussieu within his group and also by Grisebach are H. poeppigiana Ad. Juss. and H. septentrionalis Ad. Juss. Since the second of these was known from flowering material only it can not be the type, and so H. poeppigiana is the only possible lectotype.

Tetrapteris sect. Schizopteris Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 87. 1858. Lectotype: Tetrapteris poeppigiana (Ad. Juss.) Griseb. Of the several species referred by Grisebach to sect. Schizopteris, the first T. poeppigiana agrees well with the characterization and is the one illustrated by Grisebach. By this choice of lectotype, sect. Schizopteris becomes an absolute nomenclatural synonym of sect. Trilophopteris, which has the same lectotype species.

Tetrapteris sect. Microphyllaris Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909—10: 20. 1909. Lectotype: No type indicated by Niedenzu; since the section included the type of the section Trilophopteris, this is to be considered an illegitimate renaming of that, and therefore the lectotype is T. poeppigiana (Ad. Juss.) Griseb.

Tetrapteris sect. Microphyllaris subsect. Schizopteris (Griseb.) Nied. op. cit. 20. Wrongly attributed to Grisebach.

Tetrapteris sect. Microphyllaris subsect. Choriopterys Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg 4: 12. 1912. Illegitimate renaming of subsect. Schizopteris.

Tetrapteris sect. Pentapterys Ad. Juss. Ann Sci. Nat. [Paris] II, 13: 262. 1840. Tetrapteris Cav. sect. Stauropteris Griseb. in Mart. Fl. Bras. 12 (1): 86. 1858. Lectotype: Tetrapteris maranhamensis Ad. Juss. This, the first species mentioned, agrees with the characterization by Grisebach.

Mascagnia Bertero sect. Notopteris Griseb. op. cit. 90. Lectotype: Mascagnia ambigua (Ad. Juss.) Griseb. This, the first species listed by Grisebach, agrees with his characterization.

Tetrapteris sect. Macrophyllaris Nied. Verz. Vorles. Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 3. 1909. Lectotype: Tetrapteris turnerae Ad. Juss.

Tetrapteris sect. Macrophyllaris subsect.

Pentapterys (Ad. Juss.) Nied. op. cit. 5.

Tetrapteris Cav. sect. Macrophyllaris subsect.

Stauropteris (Crisch.) Nied. op. cit.

sect. Stauropteris (Griseb.) Nied. op. cit. 15. Wrongly attributed to Grisebach by Niedenzu in Das Pflanzenreich.

Tetrapteris Cav. sect. Macrophyllaris ser. Notopteris (Griseb.) Nied., Pflanzenreich IV, 141: 167. 1928. Wrongly attributed to Grisebach.

Lectotype: Tetrapteris turnerae Ad. Juss.

Jussieu placed four species in his section *Pentapterys* — *T. turnerae*, *T. chamaecerasifolia*, *T. humilis*, and *T. ramiflora*, all closely allied. The first is a suitable lectotype.

Niedenzu arbitrarily proposed a new sectional name *Macrophyllaris* when the earlier name *Pentapterys* was available; the latter he recognized, but only as a subsection. The sectional names *Notopteris* and *Stauropteris* also have priority over *Macrophyllaris*.

Tetrapteris Cav. subg. Architetrapteris Nied. in Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 3 (4): 57. 1890.

Tetrapterys subg. Mischolepis Nied. Verz. Vorles, Kgl. Lyc. Hos. Braunsberg W.-S. 1909–10: 3. 1909. Lectotype: Tetrapteris poeppigiana (Juss.) Griseb.

Lectotype: Tetrapteris poeppigiana (Juss.) Griseb. Niedenzu described his subg. Architetrapteris without indication of a type and so a lectotype must be chosen. The subgenus was distinguished from subg. Metatetrapteris by having the cotyledons narrowed at base and not auriculate, and the wings of the samaras emarginate or lacerate. In the first section under Architetrapteris, namely Schizopteris, only two species are mentioned by name - T. poeppigiana and T. heteropetala. Inasmuch as the second was known to Niedenzu in flower only it can not be considered a lectotype, and so the first is the obvious choice; it has cotyledons non-auriculate and fruit-wings lacerate. Niedenzu later abandoned his name Architetrapteris and substituted Mischolepis, perhaps because he stressed different characters (not the cotyledons or the fruit-wings) or perhaps because the prefix "archi-" suggests primitiveness and he may have changed his mind about that. In either case the earlier name should be restored.

Triaspis Burchell, Trav. S. Afr. 2: t. 290. 1824 subg. Triaspis

Triaspis subg. Thysanopetalis Nied. Arb. Bot. Inst. Braunsberg 6: 22. 1915. Since this subgenus included the type of Triaspis, namely T. hypericoides Burch., the only original species, it must be considered illegitimate and replaced by subg. Triaspis.

Tristellateia Du Petit Thouars, Gen. Nov. Madagas. 14. 1806, sect. Tristellateia. Tristellateia sect. Homoiactinia Nied. Verz. Vorles. Akad. Braunsberg S.-S. 1924: 8. 1924.

Tristellateia was described originally with no named species. The species was described later from the original material of Du Petit Thouars as T. madagascariensis Poir. in Lam. Encycl. Méth. Suppl. 5: 367. 1817, which

species is therefore automatically the type species. Since this species was included by Niedenzu in his sect. *Homoiactinia*, that sectional name becomes superfluous, a synonym of sect. *Tristellateia*.

324 TAXON VOLUME 17